

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
Annual Petition Summary Report

APPENDIX A

Date Range: 1st April, 2017 to 31st March, 2018

23 - Paper Petitions

4 - ePetitions

| Paper Petition Title | Signatures | Date Responded / Response |
|---|------------|--|
| 17-05 - Opposition to Introduce Resident Parking on King Edward Street | 59 | <p>18th Apr 2017 Thank you for the submission of the above petition on 5th April 2017.</p> <p>The Council also received a petition in favour of the introduction of residents permit parking on King Edward Street. In order to get the most accurate picture of resident opinion, we compared individual addresses rather than the number of signatures, as both petitions contain multiple signatures from each property. The results are inconclusive; therefore we will be undertaking some further investigation and consulting with the Ward Councillors to make a decision on how best to proceed.</p> <p>No timescales have been set for this project at present, and residents are welcome to contact Councillors for updates, contact details for them can be found at www.slough.gov.uk</p> |
| 17-06 - Kings Road Residential Parking Only | 39 | <p>3rd May 2017 I am writing in response to your petition dated 27th April, 2017 in which you have requested residents parking on Kings Road.</p> <p>This has been added to a current scheme for the area which our engineers are already working on and we hope to have the designs ready for publication by the summer. We will write to all affected residents with details of the proposal and an opportunity to comment on our designs so please look out for this.</p> |
| 17-07 - Martin Road Residents Parking Only | 19 | <p>11th May 2017 I am writing in response to your petition dated 2nd May 2017 in which you have requested residents parking on Martin Road.</p> <p>This has been added to a current scheme for the area which our engineers are already working on and we hope to have the designs ready for publication by the summer. We will write to all affected residents with details of the proposal and an opportunity to comment on our designs so please look out for this.</p> |
| 17-08 - Stop Implementation of Double Yellow Line in Kings Reach POS | 41 | <p>6th Jun 2017 I am writing in response to your petition regarding the double yellow lines in Kings Reach Public Open Space.</p> <p>Following on from your meeting with Slough Borough Council on 2nd June, 2017 I can confirm</p> |

| | | |
|--|----|---|
| | | that the Highways team will look into your request further and consider if there are any other alternatives to the restrictions currently in place. Parking Services will then liaise with your local Councillor on this matter, who will provide you with the necessary updates. |
| 17-09 - Implementation of a Parking Permit Scheme in College | 26 | <p>2nd Jun 2017</p> <p>I am writing in response to your petition in which you have requested residents parking on College Avenue.</p> <p>I can confirm we have previously received a petition for this request on 20th April, 2016. At the time of our previous response, this request was linked with another scheme which we could not pursue. We have since received a number of petitions requesting residents parking on the surrounding roads and our engineers are working on the designs which we hope to have ready for publication by the summer. We will write to all affected residents with details of the proposal and an opportunity to comment on our designs so please look out for this.</p> |
| 17.10 - Stop Construction of Communal Parking Bays in Salt Hill Way | 74 | <p>27th Jun 2017</p> <p>I am writing in response to the above petition where residents asked to stop the construction of communal parking bays and have requested residents parking on Salt Hill Way instead.</p> <p>I can confirm I have added this location to the waiting restrictions request list for residents parking to be considered. This list will close at the end of the year and work will not commence until the beginning of next year.</p> |
| 17-11 - Overgrown Grass Verges Ronaldsay Spur | 11 | <p>22nd Aug 2017</p> <p>I am writing to you following our recent conversation and the petition received from the Ronaldsay Spur residents.</p> <p>It has been agreed that all the parking areas in Ronaldsay Spur, Sumburgh Way, Kirkwall Spur and Lerwick Drive will have the parking areas cleaned by a mechanical road sweeper. This is a one off approach as continual use from this equipment will damage the parking area.</p> <p>Once the weeds have been removed we will be able to maintain the area to ensure that it does not get into that condition in the future. Our grounds maintenance contractor Amey is going to provide me with quotes for the overgrown bushes and shrubs around the area including the ones that are the tenant's responsibility. I will inform you when work is scheduled to be completed and keep you informed with the method agreed to keep the area maintained in the future.</p> <p>If you require any further information regarding this matter please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> |
| 17-12 - Petition to Remove Residents - 34 Brammas Close | 29 | <p>23rd Aug 2017</p> <p>I am contacting you with reference to the petition that you issued to the Council, from the residents of Brammas Close.</p> <p>The property concerned is rented privately, we have contacted the Leaseholder and the Managing Agent of 34 Brammas Close. We have listed the allegations raised in the petition and requested that they ensure the issues are resolved.</p> <p>With regards to the incidents of anti-social behaviour that you have stated on the petition, we</p> |

| | | |
|--|-----|--|
| | | <p>would ask that you keep a record of the incidents that yourself and the other residents have witnessed and return them to the Leasehold department. Some of the incidents as raised maybe police matters and these should be reported directly to the police at the time the incidents take place.</p> <p>I would like to take this opportunity to advise you of the importance of keeping an Evidence Log, particularly if legal action becomes necessary. We may need to prove to the courts that the alleged perpetrator(s) have been behaving in an anti-social manner and that an order is necessary for the protection of person/persons from further anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>I would therefore ask that you return any completed sheets within four weeks and return to Leasehold Services at the address as below, or via e-mail to Leaseholdservices@slough.gov.uk.</p> <p>If I can be of any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me again.</p> |
| 17-13 - Australia Road Parking Issues / Commercial Activities in Residential Area | 16 | <p>8th Sep 2017</p> <p>I am writing in response to your petition regarding parking issues on Australia Road caused by a car sales business which is located at the end of this road.</p> <p>As you are aware, we are currently not enforcing the residents permit scheme that was implemented on Australia Road and the surrounding roads. We have submitted an application to the Department for Transport for an authorised special sign so we can start enforcement but until we receive this, we are unable to enforce against non-residents that are parking in this manner.</p> <p>However, if these vehicles are parking on the double yellow lines or in any disabled bays, then we will be able to issue Penalty Charge Notices (PCNs) to them. I will reiterate this information to our enforcement contractors and will ask them to ensure regular visits are being made to this location.</p> <p>In the meantime, I can confirm I have reported the car sales business to our Planning Enforcement team who are currently investigating this matter further.</p> <p>If you require any further information then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> |
| 17-14 - Proposed School in Chalvey | 118 | <p>13th Sep 2017</p> <p>I write on behalf of the Council to respond to the petition received on Friday 18th August, 2017 in relation to the proposal to build a new all-through (primary and secondary) school and community facilities in Chalvey.</p> <p>As set out in the narrative, the Council has been working with the Educational Funding Authority (EFA) for some time to deliver this substantial education-led regeneration project. Subject to receiving planning approval, you are correct to highlight that central government will fund the construction of a new all-through school.</p> <p>To do so, the EFA will fund the demolition of the existing shops and offices (Dawson House) on Ladbroke Road and the existing community centre and nursery. The community facilities will be replaced by a new community hub which will include Chalvey Early Years Centre to be funded by</p> |

| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| | | <p>the EFA. This new hub will be owned by the Council and will provide flexible space to be used by local residents and community groups to meet current and future needs. As a minimum, it will provide exactly the same level of space provided in the existing building, however the expectation is that it will include new services and may be larger. Temporary community facilities will be provided during the construction period to ensure the continuity of local services.</p> <p>This petition, which was signed by 118 local residents, acknowledges that a considerable number of local people support the proposals, however you have highlighted that transport related matters are a concern. I can confirm that the applicant will address these concerns through the planning process and that consultation meetings will be arranged to allow local residents to express their views and opinions on the proposals.</p> <p>Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require further information.</p> |
| 17-15 - Proposed Closure of Car Park in Sheehy Way | 222 | <p>25th Sep 2017</p> <p>The land at Sheehy Way is not a public car park given that the Housing Department, who own the site, do not operate and manage it as such.</p> <p>This Housing site would not be for the exclusive use of the Temple/clubs on Sheehy Way without some formal agreement or permission and that the site was originally intended for the use of local housing residents. These are not in place.</p> <p>The Temple use this land due to general lack of management of the site as a car park. This land has been proposed as part of the phased development of much needed new council homes in the borough as it is on housing owned land.</p> <p>A planning application has been recently submitted by SUR in partnership with the council itself, and as such this petition should be submitted to the planning committee and relevant planners for consideration.</p> |
| 17-16 - Parking Bay Request The Cherries | 17 | <p>31st Oct 2017</p> <p>I am writing in response to your recent petition sent into Slough Borough Council regarding parking.</p> <p>This petition has been passed to me as the Tenancy Team Leader for Neighbourhood Services covering the area in question.</p> <p>I am sorry to hear that you are having issues with parking. This does seem to be an issue in a number of areas in Slough as car use has increased dramatically over the years.</p> <p>I can confirm that the grassed area that you have identified is in the ownership of Slough Borough Council's Housing Department.</p> <p>As the land owner we are willing to consider making changes to the parking provision by way of giving permission for works to be undertaken subject to Planning and Highways approval. However The Housing department's funding is such that we can only use the budget for matters</p> |

| | | |
|---|----|--|
| | | <p>relating to rented housing. This means that given all the properties listed in the petition being privately owned we cannot at this time fund the car parking changes you are requesting from the Housing revenue account.</p> <p>I will ask the Highways team to see if they have any funding available and get some idea of the costs involved. I can not give you an exact timescale but we will try to get the quotation as soon as we can.</p> <p>I apologise for the delay in getting this response to you, this was due to investigations carried out by several other teams.</p> <p>I hope this is an appropriate and helpful reply to your initial complaint and if you have any further questions about this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me on the numbers provided above.</p> <p>If however you are not satisfied with your response you can appeal to the department Director or Head of Service to review the reply.</p> <p>Complaints Coordinator Regeneration, Housing & Resources, (Landmark Place) Slough Borough Council St Martins Place 51 Bath Road Slough Berkshire SL1 3UF</p> |
| 17-17 - Montem Leisure Centre - Lack of Maintenance - Increase in Spa Membership | 34 | <p>15th Sep 2017</p> <p>I am in receipt of a copy of your petition, received on the 5th September, 2017 which was forwarded from Democratic Services.</p> <p>Your comments were addressed relating to the lack of maintenance first, namely the Jacuzzi being out of action for many months and the tropical shower not working. The Jacuzzi was out of action for a long period of time under the previous leisure operator, Slough Community Leisure. Everyone Active took over the operations of Montem Leisure Centre on 1st June 2017 and since then the Jacuzzi has been operational. The Tropical Shower was installed seven years ago by Slough Community Leisure and has never worked, this was due to poor installation. In order to fix the tropical shower the SPA floor will need to be completely removed to expose the pipework, which is a very costly and lengthy exercise. We have taken into consideration that Montem will close in early 2019 and the cost of the repair and have made the decision not to repair the shower.</p> <p>There are occasions when the SPA will be closed. This will be due to the temperatures and chemical readings falling outside of HSE guidelines. In this situation it is imperative to shut the SPA until it is safe to use. We do apologise for any inconvenience you may have experienced during these times. A reactive repairs contract has been commissioned by Everyone Active to ensure that when breakdowns occur they are dealt with as quickly as possible.</p> |

We have recorded the following issues with the SPA which may have resulted in a short closure. These faults were fixed as soon as possible with new parts being installed that should prevent future issues.

- Spa heating fault recorded and repaired on 11th August - £192
- Spa callout for chlorine injector on 4th and 5th September - £196
- Spa callout 26th and 27th July, fuses and pumps replaced - £684
- Spa callout 24th and 25th June spa pump replaced - £1212
- Spa callout 29th July and replace fuse - £98

Everyone Active has completed numerous enhancements to the SPA since they took over the management of Montem on 1st June 2017, including:

- 2 x new spa loungers
- New clock
- New sauna bulb/fittings
- Repair to the fire door
- Installed CCTV which includes spa coverage
- Increased cleaner hours
- All showers have been serviced
- All SPA lights have been replaced, including the steam room
- New card readers have been installed for entry into the spa

Member hygiene is a common reason why the Jacuzzi has to close. There are signs displayed in the SPA area requesting that members shower before using the facilities to remove moisturisers and oils as cosmetic products will clog up the pipe work. If the water is highly polluted, more water than usual will need to be replaced. This will then result in a lengthier close as the water will need to reach the correct temperatures before it can re-open. If members continue to ignore these signs the issues will not improve, we will look at placing more prominent signage in the SPA to highlight this issue.

Montem management have checked on a number of occasions for bad odours and have been unable to detect these. We are in the process of replacing the service's pipework that runs alongside the SPA and this should make a difference if there are any related issues.

In relation to increased charges, the membership itself has not increased however the SPA is an additional charge at Montem. The SPA at Langley Leisure Centre was poolside and as such was open for swimmers to use. The SPA at Montem is separate and is far bigger with more facilities. Montem users have always been required to pay the additional £5 to use the SPA. We cannot treat Langley and Montem members differently so we will continue to charge the SPA at an additional £5 per month (£1.25 per week for unlimited use).

If you have any further issues in regard to the operations of Montem Leisure Centre please contact the Centre Manager, direct.

| | | |
|--|----|--|
| 17-18 - Traffic Calming Measures on Rokesby Rd, Wentworth Ave & Calbroke Rd | 53 | <p>16th Oct 2017</p> <p>Following your paper petition received by Democratic Services on 2nd October, 2017 which was subsequently forwarded to me for consideration, your local Councillor has been in touch to discuss the petition and any possible measures that could be taken forward.</p> <p>With regards to your concerns in relation to parking I can confirm that your local Councillor has also been in liaison with the Parking department and as a result, Rokesby Road will be considered for the pavement parking scheme. This scheme would ban vehicles from being able to park with 4 wheels on the pavement and would involve an engineer conducting a site visit to the affected location. The engineer would also measure the width of the road and footways, and then design a scheme which promotes safety for all road users.</p> <p>If you would like the Authority to also consider implementing the pavement parking scheme on Wentworth Avenue and Calbroke Road then you would need to contact your local ward councillor and request this. Please see the following web address for the process to implement new parking restrictions;</p> <p>http://www.slough.gov.uk/parking-travel-and-roads/obstructive-parking.aspx</p> <p>With regards to reports of speeding and safety for vulnerable road users we will commission a traffic survey in the area during a neutral period (either November 2017 or February 2018). After the results of the survey are analysed and considered we will determine if any further action can be taken subject to available funding. We will also liaise with the relevant ward Councillors during this time to better understand the prevalent issues and consider potential solutions.</p> <p>If in the meantime you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me by email at TfS@slough.gov.uk</p> |
| 17-19 - Lack of Maintenance & Unsocial Behaviour on Merton Road | 22 | <p>17th Nov 2017</p> <p>In answer to your petition above, I will give the fullest answer I can on the point's raised.</p> <p>There is something in the region of 15 thousand trees in Slough's parks, highways and open spaces, and several woodlands. Legislation requires that these trees need to be regularly inspected for defects and remedial work undertaken where required.</p> <p>The budget for maintaining these trees is limited and is spent predominantly on works needed for health & safety grounds. Unfortunately we do not have the finance available to undertake individual pruning requests unless the work requested is also needed for safety reasons. However one exception to this would be where the trees are causing significant property damage.</p> <p>At this time the Council owned trees are inspected every three years and the three Lime trees at the end of Merton Road were last inspected on the 23/11/16. The tree outside 1 Merton Road was found to have decay fungi on the base and accordingly it was itemised to be pruned to insure its stability, and this has now been completed. The two other trees did not have the same problem so these trees were not selected for pruning.</p> |

| | | |
|---|----|--|
| | | <p>The Council does not routinely undertake heavy reductions, such work is only undertaken where there is a justifiable reason, amongst reasons that would justify such work would be:- to remedy or lessen structural problems or because the trees have been historically subject to such reductions and where they are likely to have decay at the reductions points.</p> <p>In cases where it is alleged damage is being caused by one of the Councils trees, the Council will require professional evidence supporting the allegation, this is normally obtained by the property owner's insurer who are involved due to a claim being made to them. Please note it is not the responsibility of a tree owner to undertake routine investigations in the properties adjacent to their trees to prove that their trees are not causing damage. If anyone can supply evidence that a Council owned tree has caused damage to their property, where appropriate the matter will be forwarded to the Councils insurers.</p> <p>In respect to the pruning in The Grove, this is carried out when necessary for highway sight lines and footway access, which is not always the case in other streets.</p> <p>The shrubbery at the junction of Merton Road, Hatfield Road and St Laurence Way is pruned annually in the winter which is the maintenance schedule for types of areas throughout the Borough.</p> <p>The shrubs opposite by the car park have received additional pruning at the request of the parking team to enable for safety reasons a higher visibility of the vehicles and users in the car park.</p> <p>Regarding the 'unsocial behaviour' you refer to, if fly tipping is either reported by residents or seen by officers it is removed from the location within forty eight hours and instances of fly tipping can be reported directly to Amey or to the Council both by telephone or through the Council's web site.</p> <p>Antisocial behaviour can be reported to the Council's Resilience & Enforcement team using the anti-social behaviour form on line or by telephone to the twenty four hour number 01753 875298; alternatively reported to the police on their 101 number.</p> <p>At this time the Resilience & Enforcement team have no reports of ASB or rough sleeping in this area.</p> <p>The Council's policies and processes relating to the matters you raised are all available on the Council's web site for your future reference.</p> |
| 17-20 - Ryvers Road Parking Bays Request | 19 | <p>15th Nov 2017</p> <p>I am writing in response to your petition in which you have asked for the grass verges on Ryvers Road to be converted into parking bays.</p> <p>Unfortunately we do not currently have the specific funding to carry out these works, however you may wish to contact your local ward councillors as they may have some funding allocated to their ward to carry these works under the Community Investment Fund.</p> |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|---|
| | | <p>Please see below the contact details for your ward councillors:</p> <p>Councillor Preston Brooker Email: preston.brooker@slough.gov.uk Mobile: 07791 913 555</p> <p>Councillor Michael Holledge Email: michael.holledge@slough.gov.uk Mobile: 07795 975 740</p> <p>Councillor Mandeep Rana Email: mandeep.rana@slough.gov.uk Mobile: 07957 334 387</p> |
| 17-21 - Save Our Wellbeing Gym | 261 | <p>16th Nov 2017</p> <p>I am in receipt of a copy of your petition, received on the 15th November, which was forwarded from Democratic Services.</p> <p>The wellbeing suite was created by the previous leisure operator Slough Community Leisure. As part of the new contract, which began in June, Everyone Active purchased the equipment. This was to keep the service running for as long as possible until the new leisure centre, currently under construction, opens in March 2019.</p> <p>The new leisure centre on Farnham Road is smaller than Montem Leisure Centre and as a result transferring services have been reduced. Unfortunately, there are no other Council owned facilities within Slough that can accommodate the equipment. To ensure that members with health conditions are still fully supported, referral schemes and classes will be available to integrate users of the wellbeing suite into the main fitness facility.</p> <p>Furthermore, we are working with Everyone Active to provide a far larger and further reaching outreach programme to take more sessions out into the community. Classes such as seated exercise, yoga, healthy walks and tai chi will continue to be available, which would be suitable for members who would benefit from gentler exercise. The new centre will also have a large cafe area where members can continue to socialise.</p> <p>We understand that the wellbeing suite has benefited a number of members over the years and it is with regret that we are not able to transfer the service to the new centre. The Council and Everyone Active are working closely together to ensure that there will be a variety of options for previous members of the wellbeing suite and we are positive that you will be exceptionally happy with the new facilities planned for both Langley Leisure Centre and the new leisure centre when they open.</p> <p>The wellbeing suite will remain open and available to users up to February 2019, when Montem Leisure Centre will close to the public.</p> |

| | | |
|--|----|--|
| 17-22 - One Way Traffic System – Belgrave Road | 43 | <p>5th Jan 2018 I am writing in response to your petition regarding your request to introduce a one way system on Belgrave Road.</p> <p>We have undertaken a site visit to determine whether your road is suitable for a one way street and as part of this visit we will be undertaking further investigations to check the benefits of introducing a one way restriction.</p> <p>If not properly introduced one way streets have a tendency to encourage speeding, therefore before any decision is made we will undertake traffic surveys to identify the volume and speed of vehicles on Belgrave Road.</p> <p>Furthermore, we will also look at any recorded accidents on this section and subject to the results and funding we will determine whether we can introduce a one way restriction on this section.</p> <p>If you require any further information then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> |
| 17-23 - Petition Against Foxborough Close Cut Through Closure | 72 | <p>19th Dec 2017 Thank you for submitting a signed application form dated 7th December, 2017, for a modification to the definitive map and statement along with user evidence in the form of template letters and a petition signed by residents in surrounding roads.</p> <p>There is a statutory process with forms set out in (statutory) Regulations that should be used to make such an application. Using the correct statutory forms and process means that the application can be validated by the Council. The applicant for a validated application has certain important appeal rights which they may not be able to use if the application is made in a non-complaint way. Applicants are therefore strongly advised to make use of the correct application forms and follow the statutory process carefully.</p> <p>However, if evidence suggesting that a right of way subsists comes to the attention of the Council by another means (such as in a letter accompanied by evidence of use) then the Council will still investigate that evidence.</p> <p>As regards user evidence forms, Councils use such forms to gather the detailed evidence that experience shows will be needed when the Council comes to investigate the matter. Letters can be accepted but often do not contain the detail that is required, or do not clearly express the detail that is required. Letters that do not contain relevant details and are not accompanied by a signed map showing the route in question will usually be given less evidential weight than a fully completed form with an attached signed map.</p> <p>As we discussed, the letters you've submitted as evidence do not contain the user's address, are all worded the same and none of them are accompanied by a signed map showing the route in question. Though I appreciate the difficulty with asking people to</p> |

| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| | | <p>complete the statutory forms I do feel that in light of this, I can confirm that the application you have submitted is not duly made, but that the evidence you have submitted will be investigated.</p> <p>I also acknowledge and will take account of the historic OS map brought to my attention dated 1910- 1923.</p> <p>Please contact me if you have any queries on the above and I will be in touch in due course.</p> |
| 17-24 - Canada Road Parking Issues | 14 | <p>15th Jan 2018 I am writing to you in response to your petition regarding the health and safety and parking issues on Canada Road.</p> <p>With regards to the parking issues that have been raised, I can confirm we will be going out to consultation at the end of this week to help introduce a residents permit scheme on Canada Road and the surrounding roads. If implemented, this will assist with the parking problems you are currently facing.</p> <p>Furthermore I have liaised with our Planning Enforcement Team on the health and safety matters and unfortunately they are unable to take any action as there are no planning conditions attached to the permission that has been obtained by this local business.</p> <p>If you have any further questions regarding this then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> |
| 17-25 - Parking Restrictions Bradley Road/Stoke Poges Lane | 115 | <p>4th Jan 2018 Thank you for the comments to this consultation. I can confirm they have been logged.</p> <p>The consultation has now ended however we will shortly be collating and analysing all the consultation responses and a decision will be made on how best to proceed. Once a decision has been made, we will write to you with the outcome and any points you have raised will also be addressed.</p> <p>In the meantime, if you have any further queries please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> |
| 18-01 - Change Residents Parking at Malvern Court | 22 | <p>8th Feb 2018 I am writing in response to your petition regarding the parking issues on Hillrise.</p> <p>I understand from this petition that residents would like:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The double yellow line on Hillrise to be removed and replaced with residents only parking on both sides with bays painted half on and half off the pavement, or 2. The double yellow line to be replaced with a single yellow line allowing residents to park from 6pm to 8am, with the other side changed to residents only parking <p>Unfortunately we are not able to consider either of these options and my reasons for this are detailed below.</p> |

| | | |
|---|----|--|
| | | <p>The pavements at this location are not wide enough to accommodate parking bays half on and half off the pavement. We have to ensure there is a minimum width of 1.8m available on the pavement for pedestrians and as this would not be possible on Hillrise, we cannot authorise this. The current design of a double yellow line on one side of the road allows vehicles to pass safely on the carriageway as vehicles can park on the other side of the road, and also means the pavements are available for pedestrians to use.</p> <p>The second suggestion of replacing the double yellow line with a single yellow line cannot be considered as this would then allow vehicles to park on both sides of the road in the evening which would cause access issues for all road users' particularly emergency vehicles.</p> <p>I understand the focus of your petition is to deter non-residents from being able to park at Hillrise and for the area to be designated as residents parking only. This petition has been signed by the residents of Malvern Court who already have parking available to them. I appreciate there is a strain on parking, as these residents have more vehicles than parking spaces available, however even if a residents permit scheme was implemented, residents of Malvern Court would not be allowed to have a permit. If there is a parking issue for residents of this development then it is up to them to contact their management company for assistance with this matter.</p> <p>I apologise that we are unable to assist you on this occasion.</p> |
| 18-02 - Kestrel Path and Vaughan Way Parking | 23 | <p>2nd Mar 2018</p> <p>I am writing in response to your petition regarding the request for additional parking bays on Kestrel Path.</p> <p>Unfortunately we do not have the budget for requests such as these; this would need to be discussed with your local ward councillors and if they are in favour of this, they would be able to fund this from their own budget. Please see below for details of your local ward councillors;</p> <p>Britwell and Northborough ward:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Councillor Robert Anderson – 01753 531 649 2. Councillor Martin Carter - 07856 723 037 3. Councillor Pavitar Mann - 07832 345 887 <p>I apologise that we have not been able to assist you on this occasion.</p> |

| ePetition Title | Signatures | Date Responded / Response |
|--|------------|--|
| Double yellow lines at the rear of 179 to 255 Humber Way | 14 | <p>3rd May 2017 I am writing to formally acknowledge receipt of your above ePetition which closed on 1st May, 2017.</p> <p>Slough Borough Council is pleased to advise that we have placed your request on our waiting list for consideration, which closes at the end of this year.</p> <p>If this scheme can be considered, letters will be sent to affected residents when the scheme has been designed with information about the proposal, and how to make representations before any scheme is implemented. A decision will then be made on how best to proceed based on any feedback.</p> |
| Change Tuns Lane to Avenue Of Remembrance | 3 | <p>6th Jun 2017 With reference to your Online petition request – Change Tuns Lane to Avenue of Remembrance</p> <p>The Council is not able to accept this petition as it is has fallen below the minimum threshold of 10 signatories.</p> |
| Bus Facilities for Children from Cippenham to Langley covering 3 to 4 Grammar Schools | 104 | 28 th Nov 2017- response outstanding |
| Windsor Link Railway | 13 | <p>15th Mar 2018 The council acknowledges that the Windsor Link Railway has aspirations to connect Slough with Waterloo via South Western Railway and also for the route to make a connection to Heathrow via Windsor Riverside station.</p> <p>In terms of linking Slough to South London this will be possible via Crossrail and a tube connection i.e. the northern or jubilee lines. This service will be operational by December 2019 offering Slough residents a quicker and more reliable journey time without the need for a heavy rail interchange.</p> <p>The council has made a commitment to support the Western Rail Link to Heathrow (WRLtH) and continues to promote this project to support growth, modal shift and easier access to those who live west of London. The council has also now received support from its MP Tan Dhesi to co-chair the steering group to raise the profile of this worthwhile rail project. It is clear that the WRLtH project has not yet secured full funding however, with the design in an advanced position and the DCO process likely to be next year there are clear indications that this scheme will be delivered.</p> <p>The council at this critical stage cannot be seen to move from its position of support for WRLtH and so will not be supporting the Windsor Link Railway scheme.</p> |